UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,448	07/18/2003	Tsutomu Ohishi	240473US2	1119	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			KAU, STEVEN Y		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/621,448	OHISHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	STEVEN KAU	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	sideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially red	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed and the complex proposed.	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-11,13 and 15-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Steven Kau/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments presented in the Remarks of 8/25/2009 have been fully considered, and are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "However, Iwata does not describe or suggest an application launch part configured to access launch selection information, the launch selection information indicating at least a location of an auxiliary storage device that stores one or more applications, and configured to launch the one or more applications from the auxiliary storage device according to the accessed launch selection information, as is recited in Claim 1."

"The outstanding Action asserts on page 4 that the "agent" of Figures 44 and 47 of Iwata corresponds to the above noted 'application launch part' recited in Claim 1, Applicants respectfully traverse this assertion."

"Specifically, the 'agent' of Figures 44 and 47 merely enables the printer to download software from the server (asserted as corresponding to the auxiliary storage device). Nothing in Iwata describes or suggests launching the one or more applications from the auxiliary storage device according to the accessed launch selection information.

"In other words, in Iwata the 'printer software' is not launched from the server, instead it is merely sent from the server to the printer where the software is launched from a local storage."

"In addition, as is acknowledged on page 5, Iwata does not describe or suggest a part configured to display a setting screen that sets the launch selection information on a display part of the image forming apparatus, and configured to store information input from the setting screen as the launch selection information, the launch selection information indicating at least a location of an auxiliary storage device that stores one or more applications", Page 3, Remarks, 8/25/2009.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above statement. As discussed in the Office Action, Iwata discloses in detail about application launch part, i.e. the agent of printer module including Display Data Getting Part and LAN interface in Figures 44 & 47, and accessing launch selection information such as input setup information for adding a hardware module and downloading software of a printer from a server, col 2, lines 56-61, col 13, line 36 to col 14, line 16, and col 25, line 22 to col 26, line 26, so that a printer function can be "expanded or changed" col 26, lines 19-26. That is, printer software is launched, or more precisely, printer software is downloaded from a server to a printer and then installed to activate printer function to be "expanded or change". With regarding to "display a setting screen", Iwata discloses "Operation Panel" and "Display Means", and "Display Data Getting Means", etc., in Figures 44 and 47, and information selection in Figs. 42 and 43. For example, "display means accepts selection of the button, a request for transmitted the corresponding file is transmitted.."; that is, a user can select a file, or an application to transmit or to launch through the network. Iwata does not disclose in detail about "launch selection information indicating at least a location of an auxiliary storage device that stores one or more applications". However, Itoh teaches "launch selection information can be selected and retrieved from one of plurality servers. Thus, by modifying Iwata's display with Itoh's technique to provide "at least a location of an auxiliary storage device that stores one or more application" would have been to improve Iwata's capability for information, or application selection and therefore, improve information accessibility.

The examiner believes that the Final rejection was proper and therefore, the rejection is maintained. Independent claims 22 and 23 recite the similar features of Claim 1, and the rejection is maintained. The rejections of dependent claims 2, 4-11, 13, and 15-21 are maintained due to their dependency to claims 1, and 22.

/Steven Kau/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625